Walgreens Loc

Walgreens Local Specialty Pharmacies Provide Convenient Access to Oral Chemotherapies for Patients throughout the United States

Presented at the 2015 National Association of Specialty Pharmacy Annual Meeting & Expo National Harbor, MD; September 29th – October 1st, 2015

Walgreens integrated business model uniquely allows patient choice and flexibility by using Local Specialty Pharmacies as well as maintaining medication access through other pharmacy channels.

OBJECTIVE AND BACKGROUND

- To identify utilization trends and access to oral oncolytics (including Limited Distribution Drugs) for patients using Walgreens Local Specialty Pharmacies (LSP).
- Retail local specialty pharmacies (LSP) are comprised of Health Systems (hospitals or medical offices) and Community pharmacy locations that combine traditional Walgreens and central specialty pharmacy components, and where patients receive personalized, comprehensive pharmacy care addressing complex disease conditions.
- Limited Distribution Drugs (LDD) are medications that may have specific reporting requirements that need to be monitored closely. Because of this, manufacturers will choose to limit the distribution of the product to a select number of pharmacies. Within the LSPs there is variation regarding access to LDDs.

METHODS

- This retrospective cohort study used pharmacy claims to identify patients who were either new to pharmacy or therapy based on a 6-month preview of patients utilizing oncolytics from September 2013. The reported one year observation time is from March 2014 through March 2015 for patients at least 18 years of age.
- Oral chemotherapy prescriptions that are designated as limited distribution at the time of fill were the following: afatinib, axitinib, bosutinib, crizotinib, dabrafenib, erlotinib, lapatinib, lenalidomide, pazopanib, pomalidomide, procarbazine, regorafenib, ruxolitinib, sorafenib, sunitinib, thalidomide, vemurafenib, vismodegib, and vorinostat. Not designated as LDD during the study period were the following: abiraterone, bexarotene, capecitabine, dasatinib, everolimus, imatinib, nilotinib, temozolomide, topotecan, and trametinib.

RESULTS

Between March 2014 and March 2015, 8,127 patients were new to Walgreens or therapy for 29 oncolytics, of which 19 were designated as limited distribution (LDD). The majority of patients (64%) had access to a LDD prescription for their treatment, with 16.2% accessing multiple pharmacy channels (i.e., central pharmacy), and represents 38.8% of all Walgreens patients utilizing a LDD oncolytic. As presented in Table 1, utilization of LDD from LSP was higher for males than females (p < .0001), for patients older on average by 5.5 years (p < .0001), for patients in midwestern states followed by north eastern states compared to other regions (p < .001), and patients had a slightly higher mean number of LDD fills (p < .05).

| Oncolytic LDD | Ger Female | nder Male | Age* | Regions* North Eastern | Mid western | Southern | Western | Mean of Patient Fills* |
|------------------|------------------|------------------|------|---------------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------|---------------------------|
| No (n=2,921) | 1,607 (41.9%) | 1,314 (30.6%) | 60.7 | 294 (35.6%) | 1,116 (31.0%) | 1,043 (41.0%) | 468 (40.4%) | 3.4 |
| Yes (n=5,206) | 2,229 (58.1%) | 2,977 (69.4%) | 66.2 | 533 (64.4%) | 2,481 (69.0%) | 1,503 (59.0%) | 689 (59.6%) | 3.6 |

Table 1. Patient Characteristics by LDD Indication

* Denotes a significant association. Patient's states were aggregated into US Census regions. Mean fills are only for oncolytics included in study period.

• Most patients were covered with a commercial plan (90.7%) or with Medicaid coverage (9.3%). Within the LSP network, Community pharmacies dispensed 56% of oncolytic fills, hospital systems dispensed 32.3% of fills, and 11.7% of fills were dispensed from a medical office buildings. Table 2. indicates that utilization of LDD occurs the most from Community pharmacies compared to hospital settings or medical office buildings (p < 0.0001). There is no significant association with LDD and commercial payers or Medicaid (p < 0.93).

Table 2. Pharmacy LSP Types and Payer Types by LDD at First Fill

| Oncolytic LDD | LSP Type* (n 7,930) | Community | Hospital | Med. Office | Payer Type (n 7,892) | Commercial | Medicaid |
|------------------|------------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------|-------------------------|------------------|----------------|
| No | 2,815 | 1,140 (27.6%) | 1,256 (44.3%) | 419 (43.7%) | 2,797 | 2,521 (35.2%) | 276 (37.8%) |
| Yes | 5,115 | 2,997 (72.4%) | 1,579 (55.7%) | 539 (56.3%) | 5,095 | 4,641 (64.8%) | 454 (62.2%) |

Notes: The total number of LSP type does not include closed locations within the study period. Payer types exclude a single Workers Compensation benefit or missing indication. * denotes a significant association.

- Patients received 28,834 fills, with an average AWP cost of \$8,894.¹
- Table 3 reports fill counts and mean AWP per drug, by LDD designation and ordered by fill counts. The
 range of AWP costs does not appear associated with utilization counts, and is more likely driven by
 cancer diagnoses. To note, this study does not address cancer diagnosis, or off label utilization of the
 included drugs.

| Oncolytic (LDD) | Fill Count | Mean AWP | Oncolytic (not LDD) | Fill Count | Mean AWP |
|-----------------|------------|-------------|---------------------|------------|-------------|
| lenalidomide | 6,048 | \$11,082.26 | temozolomide | 3,886 | \$3,616.22 |
| erlotinib | 2,506 | \$7,252.21 | abiraterone | 2,415 | \$8,684.41 |
| sorafenib | 1,117 | \$11,382.98 | everolimus | 1,800 | \$12,189.45 |
| pazopanib | 1,010 | \$8,157.09 | capecitabine | 1,667 | \$3,294.60 |
| pomalidomide | 867 | \$13,068.00 | imatinib | 1,144 | \$9,909.37 |
| sunitinib | 710 | \$11,219.96 | dasatinib | 818 | \$10,592.08 |
| ruxolitinib | 657 | \$11,293.92 | nilotinib | 607 | \$10,182.30 |
| regorafenib | 582 | \$12,680.87 | trametinib | 318 | \$11,498.06 |
| thalidomide | 389 | \$9,325.30 | topotecan | 207 | \$5,618.11 |
| lapatinib | 352 | \$5,158.02 | bexarotene | 72 | \$27,668.71 |
| dabrafenib | 335 | \$9,631.26 | | | |
| procarbazine | 291 | \$1,931.48 | | | |
| axitinib | 264 | \$12,122.53 | | | |
| afatinib | 261 | \$8,060.34 | | | |
| crizotinib | 256 | \$14,228.76 | | | |
| bosutinib | 176 | \$10,065.94 | | | |
| vismodegib | 40 | \$11,381.11 | | | |
| vorinostat | 35 | \$8,211.94 | | | |
| vemurafenib | 4 | \$13,020.96 | | | |

Table 3. Total Fill Count and AWP per Oncolytic

CONCLUSIONS

- Walgreens Local Specialty Pharmacies allow access to both LDD and non-LDD oral chemotherapies in retail pharmacy settings for patients throughout the United States.
- Walgreens integrated business model across pharmacy channels uniquely allows patient choice and flexibility of utilizing Local Specialty Pharmacies, while maintaining medication access across pharmacy channels.

References:

1. AWP costs based on Medi-Span[®] data.

AMA Citation:

Staskon, F, Leiter, N, Kirkham, H. Walgreens local specialty pharmacies provide convenient access to oral chemotherapies for patients throughout the United States. Presented at the 2015 National Association of Specialty Pharmacy Annual Meeting & Expo; September 29th – October 1st, 2015; National Harbor, MD.

Contributing Authors:

Francis Štaskon, PhD; Nicole Leiter, Pharm D; Heather Kirkham, PhD, MPH Walgreens, Deerfield, IL

For more information on this presentation, please contact research@walgreens.com. This research was funded internally by Walgreen Co. All authors are employees of the employer, Walgreen Co., for whom this research was conducted.